

REMARKS


The examiner has required restriction between claims 20-39 and claim 40. Claims 26 and 27 have been cancelled. Applicant elects to prosecute the claims of Group 1, namely claims 20-25 and 28-39.

Although the examiner has not rejected any of the claims, he makes the statement that claim 20 is anticipated by DE 3135790. The inclusion of the limitations of claims 26 and 27 and amended claim 20 overcomes this anticipation. The examiner also indicates that it is his belief that there is more than one species. Applicant wishes to prosecute Species 2, directed to Figure 3 and claim 31 in Category 1, and further elects to prosecute Species 1 in Category 2, directed to Figure 4 and claims 20-25, 28-39.

Applicant believes that claim 20 is generic.

It is believed that all the requirements set forth in the office action dated June 18, 2009, have been met. Should the examiner have any questions, he is urged to contact the undersigned by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,
JENSEN & PUNTIGAM, P.S.

By 
Robert A. Jensen, #24,268
Attorney for Applicant
2033 6th Ave, #1020
Seattle, WA 98121
Tel: (206) 448-3200 / fax: (206)441-5514
Email: bj@jensenpuntigam.com

RAJ:gh